

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. [FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/659,659		09/10/2003	Nathaniel Christopher Herwig	11388.00	3696
26884	7590	02/09/2005		EXAMINER	
PAUL W.			LABAZE, EDWYN		
LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-4 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
DAYTON,	OH 454	479-0001	2876		
			DATE MAILED: 02/09/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			/ \
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	10/659,659	HERWIG ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDWYN LABAZE	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 13 January 2005 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this application to the same of th	cation. A proper repict places the application of the contract	oly to a cation in
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH	of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extens 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three moterned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate ex the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF	•		
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or s	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-8</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·	
10.⊠ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u>		101	
		THIEN M. LE PRIMARY EXAMIN	NER

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No.

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The added limitations "a receipt printer" raise new issues that would require further search and/or considerations.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The prior art of record, Austin et al., still meets the limitations of claimed invention. The consideration (as marked in this section) is related to the applicant's remarks/argument, not the amendments.

Continuation of 10. Other: Claims 1-8 remain rejected as set forth in the Final office Action (see paper no. 12232004).